

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/781,503	OGLE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	BHISMA MEHTA	3767	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) BHISMA MEHTA.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mengmeng Fahrni.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 April 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

28-35, 37, 48, 51, and 57

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was indicated to Ms. Fahrni that the arguments regarding the prior art rejections of claims 28-35 and 37, as amended in the amendment filed March 31 2010, were not persuasive. After consultation with the clients, Ms. Fahrni agreed to the cancellation of claims 28-35 and 37. The cancellation of claim 51 was agreed upon as claim 51 is not drawn to the elected species. The amendments to claims 48 and 57 were discussed and agreed upon as detailed in the Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bhisma Mehta/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3767

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)